

EFFECT OF ACORUS CALAMUS L. OIL VAPOURS ON EGG DEVELOPMENT, FECUNDITY AND FERTILITY OF *DYSDERCUS CINGULATUS* FABR.

By

AHMED ALI BALOCH *

ABSTRACT

Laboratory studies are carried out on the effect of *Accrus calamus* L. oil vapours on egg development; fecundity and fertility of *Dysdercus cingulatus* Fabr. The results indicated that no significant effect of treatments i.e. different doses on the percent of hatching was observed, but a significant difference of adult emergences based on eggs could be observed at different exposure periods i. e. as the exposure period increases the percent of adults emergence decreased and vice versa. The vapours affected the hatching of eggs of all age groups. The nymphs that hatches out from some of these eggs did not moult but died particularly at high dose.

A slight carry over effect of the *A. calamus* oil vapours were also noted.

INTRODUCTION

A

Cotton is an important cashcrop of Pakistan and a main source of foreign exchange. The cotton crop is attacked by a variety of insect pests. *Dystercus cingulatus* is also a pest which attack cotton and many other Malvaceae plants such as silk cotton; *Bombax ceiba*; lady's finger, *Abelmoschus esculentus*; *Abutelon indicu*; *Tillia* spp etc. Both adults and nymphs cause a considerable damage to the crop. In cotton it appears generally in early opening off crop and breed on bolls; puncturing the same; sucking up the juice and staining the lint. That is why it is also called cotton stainer. In United States and many other countries it is a major pest of cotton.

C.Ü. ZİRAAT FAKÜLTESİ DERG.

CİLT : 6

SAVI : 1

1990

* Cotton Research Institute; Sakrand; Pakistan.

In Pakistan though it appears on cotton crop but no any intensive work on its losses have been done so far. But its importance can not be ignored. The initial observation on population dynamics of cotton pests of Sindh carried out by C.R.I. Sakrand reveals that it also cause a considerable damage to the crop particularly of late varieties or early varieties but sown in late season. Its attack also affect the quality of lint. So this pest also needs to be controlled effectively.

Chemosterilants and sterilizing agents played a vital role in reducing the pest population particularly in stored grain pests and fruit flies. Many growers are very much convinced from these compounds due to their safety and other side effects.

Keeping the popularity of chemosterilants and sterilizing compounds among the growers; in view the scientists started testing the new compounds and their efficacy against different aspects of important pests particularly on sterility; fecundity fertility; embryonic and post embryonic development of an insect.

Many chemosterilants have been developed for use in sterile insect release programmes. The essential oil of *Accrus calamus* L.; has been reported to show insecticidal activity and it was also observed that the oil also prevented the oviposition in stored grain pests like *Callasobruchus chinensis* L., and *Trogoderma granarium* Everts (Saxena and Srivastava, 1972). Rohdendorf (1966) reported that of the vapours of *A. calamus* oil controlled the hatching and moulting of the first instar nymphs of *Dysdercs koenigii* F.

Acorus calamus oil is non toxic to human beings and its main and most effective compound is *cis β. asaron*.

Generally plants were amongst the earliest sources of insecticides to have been used by man. The *A. calamus* oil is extracted from the dry rhizomes of neem plants, easily available in Pakistan in a large quantity. Hence it was proposed to carry out some studies on its effectiveness as a sterilizing agent of a cotton pest *D. cingulatus* in the laboratory and makes the main object of this script.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Since last three years *D. cingulatus* adults and nymphs are reared in the Zoological laboratory of Hannover University of West Germany on the seeds of *Tilia* sp. at 30°C and 70% relative humidity; in separate plastic jars of 6" diameter having a thin layer of slightly moist builders sand.

In the present study newly laid eggs from F4 generation were taken. There were four sets of experiments where the doses were 1, 2, 3 and 4 μ l. In each set different groups of 50 eggs of 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours old were exposed to *A. calamus* oil vapours for 96, 72, 48 and 24 hours. The eggs were placed in a small petridish of one inch diameter. The petridishes were placed in jam jars of one pound capacity tightly lidded and containing filter paper impregnated with *A. calamus* oil. These jars were kept in an incubator set at 30°C after the required period of fumigation the jars were brought out for a few minutes and the eggs were placed in new jars and were again placed in an incubator for hatching. After that newly hatched nymphs were transferred to the rearing plastic jars containing half grinded seeds of *Tilia* sp. for further development.

The controls were kept separately using acetone impregnated filter paper.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of *Acorus calamus* oil vapours on egg development.

The results are presented in Table - 1 which indicates that when the newly laid eggs were exposed to 1 μ l to 4 μ l of *A. calamus* oil vapours from 24 to 96 hours, no any significant difference on percentage of hatching was observed in treatments. As the percentage of adult emergence based on eggs and nymphs is concerned a significant difference between 24 and 96 hours of exposure could be observed, in percentage of adult emergence based on the eggs i.e. at 24 hours

exposure the emergence was 62.4% where as at 96 hours it was 42.8% where the dose was 1 μ l. In case of adult emergence based on nymphs though the differences were there but the results were non-significant. Similar type of results were obtained on the other doses also.

When the different doses were compared at constant exposure period of 96, 72; 48 and 24 hours, it was observed that percentage of hatching decreased as the dose and exposure period increases eg at 1 μ l dose at 96 hours exposure the percentage of hatching was 82.4% Where as at 24 hours exposure it was 93.2%. Similarly at 4 μ l the percentage of hatching at 96 and 24 hours exposure was 67.6 and 79.2% which is significantly less at 1 μ l of dose (Table 2).

Table 2 also indicates that the percentage of adult emergence based on eggs and nymph is also significantly decreased at same dose and different exposure periods and vice versa.

Similarly the effect of the oil vapours of *A. calamus* was investigated by Saxena and Srivastava (1971) on the eggs *D. koenigii* and reported that the vapours affected the hatching of eggs of all age groups. Saxena and Srivastava (1972) reported that at a 100 ppm concentration (13 ml solution) of oil of *A. calamus* the eggs of *D. koenigii* did not hatch. But Schmidt and Borchers (1981) could not find any sterilizing effect on the eggs of ants (*Formica* sp.).

As the effect of *A. calamus* oil vapours on percentage of hatching and moulting of the nymphs is concerned the results are presented in Table - 3 which indicates that as the dose and exposure period increases the hatching and moulting of nymphs decreases and vice versa. eg. at 1 μ l with 24 hour exposure the percentage of hatching of 24 hours old eggs was 92% and the moulting of nymphs was 89% but the same of 96 hours old eggs at same exposure period was 89 and 76.5 % respectively. Similarly at same dose the percentage of hatching and nymphal moult of 96 hour old eggs at 24 and 96 hour of exposure was 85 and 62.5 and 65 and 15 % respectively. When the dose is increased to 4 μ l the percentage of hatching and moulting of 24 hour old eggs at 24 and

96 hours exposure were 80 and 58.5 and 20 and 0% respectively whereas the same in 96 hour old eggs was 25 and 0% at 24 hour exposure and at 96 hour exposure even the eggs did not hatch.

These results are with agreement of Sexena and Srivastava (1972) who reported that the younger eggs of *D. koenigii* are comparatively less affected by *A. calamus* vapours than older ones. With 1 μ l treatment eggs of 0-72, 72-96 and 96-120 hours age groups showed 100, 89, 70 % hatching respectively. In this treatment moulting of nymphs was, however, observed in eggs upto 48 hour of age. The nymphs from 48-72 hour old eggs did not show moulting at 3 μ l dose. The percentage of hatching was reduced in eggs older than 72 hours. Nymphs did not show any moulting in this treatment.

Effect of *Acorus calamus* on F1 generation of *D. cingulatus*.

Some times it happens that partial effectiveness of chemicals are carried over to the off springs. So to see whether the effect of *A. calamus* oil vapours is carried over to the F1 generation or not. Therefore the nymphs emerged from the treated eggs were allowed to develop and complete the life cycle. The results thus recorded are presented in Table 4.

Table 4 indicates that at the individual dose with different exposure periods, do not have any significant effect on the percentage of hatching of the eggs laid by the adults emerged from the treated eggs. Similarly the percentage of adult emergence based on eggs and nymphs was also nonsignificant at the same dose with different exposure periods. But the differences between treatment and control were quite significant.

Similarly the results of Table 5 indicates that the percentage of hatching, and adult emergence based on eggs and nymphs in F1 generation were significantly different at the same dose particularly of 96 and 24 hours exposure and at different doses with the same exposure periods, which indicates that in general as the exposure

period and dose increases the percentage of hatching and adult emergence based on eggs and nymphs decreases and vice versa. But the level of decrease in F_1 generation as compared to control is not too much. So one can say that the carryover effect of *A. calamus* oil vapours is not encouraging one.

Anyhow the results have open the door for further investigations particularly on ovicle development and mode of action of the chemical.

The present results show that the nymphal development was more or less normal but Saxena and Srivastava (1971) reported that the nymphs that hatched out from some of the treated eggs with *A. calamus* oil vapours did not moult but died, only a few of them moulted where the dose was low but all them died within 24 hours.

Further studies have also been made of the oil vapours of *A. calamus*. They have proved to be a very effective antigonadal agent on female reproductive systems (*Thermobia domestica*, Sexena and Rohdendorff, 1974; Rohdendorf and Saxena, 1974; *Dysdercus koenigii*; Sexena and Mathur, 1976; Stored grain beetles, Sexena; Koul and Tikku, 1977). The vapours have also been reported as sterilizing the males (*Musca domestica*, (Mathur and Saxena 1975). He also reported that the vapours of *A. calamus* oil have profound influence on *D. koenigii*. Higher concentration of vapours impedes copulation whereas slightly lower doses hamper the maturation of ova resulting in partial eggs sterility and fecundity, even the chorionized egg get stuck in common oviduct.

From the present studies it could be concluded that the oil vapours of *A. calamus* have got chemo steriliant action upto some extent and very little of it can be carried over to F_1 generation, which is not sufficient to accept it as an insect controlling agent. So further studies are required to found out the actual dose and the actual stage of the insect which is more affected by this chemical.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is highly thankful to the Alexander Von Humboldt Foundation of West Germany for the Financial support. Sincere thanks are also due to Prof. Dr. G.H. Schmidt for providing the laboratory facilities and critical review of the article.

Table-1 Effect of Acorus calamus oil Vapours on Percentage of hatching and adult emergence

Duration of exposure of eggs in hours

Dose/Factor	24 hours		48 hours		72 hours		96 hours	
	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control	Treatment	Control
Dose 1 μ l								
% age of hatching	93.2 a	93.6 a	91.6 a	93.6 a	82.4 a	89.4 a	82.4 a	96.2 a
% age of adult emergence based on eggs	62.4 c	86.8 b	55.2 c	83.6 c	46.4 c	82.4 b	42.8 b	79.2 c
% age of adult emergence based on nymphs	66.9 b	92.6 a	60.1 b	89.3 b	56. b	92.0 a	46.6 b	86.6 b
Dose 2 μ l								
% age of hatching	80.8 a	93.2 a	81.2 a	90.8 a	80.0 a	91.6 a	72.0 a	92.0 a
% age of adult emergence based on eggs	45.2 c	88.4 b	43.6 c	82.8 b	41.2 c	82.0 b	35.5 a	83.2 b
% age of adult emergence based on nymphs	55.7 b	94.8 a	53.0 b	91.2 a	51.1 b	89.5 a	48.4 a	90.5 a
Dose 3 μ l								
% age of hatching	78.4 a	91.6 a	76.0 a	92.4 a	73.6 a	90.4 b	70.8 a	92.0 a
% age of adult emergence based on eggs	39.6 c	84.8 b	36.8 c	85.2 b	37.6 c	84.4 c	32.4 c	84.8 b
% age of adult emergence based on nymphs	50.2 b	92.5 a	48.2 b	92.2 a	50.5 b	93.3 a	45.0 b	92.2 a
Dose 4 μ l								
% age off hatching	79.2 a	94.0 a	76.8 a	93.6 a	73.6 a	91.2 b	67.6 a	90.4 a
% age off adult emergence based on eggs	37.6 c	86.0 b	35.6 c	87.2 b	32.4 c	86.8 c	27.6 c	82.8 b
% age off adult emergence based on nymphs	47.1 b	91.5 a	45.6 b	83.2 a	43.4 b	95.1 a	38.9 b	91.6 a

Means followed by similar letters are not significantly different from each other according to DMR test

Table-2 Comparative effect of dose and exposure period on percentage of hatching and adult emergence.

Dose	Exposure period (hours)	% age of % age of adult emergen. based on					
		hatching		EGGS		NYMPHS	
		Treated	Cont.	Treated	Cont.	Treated	Cont.
1 μ l	96	82.4 b	91.2 a	42.8 c	79.2 b	46.6 c	86.6 b
	72	82.4 b	89.6 a	46.4 c	82.4 b	56.1 b	92.0 a
	48	91.6 a	93.6 a	55.2 b	83.6 b	60.1 b	89.3ab
	24	93.2 a	93.6 a	62.4 a	89.0 a	66.9 a	92.6 a
2 μ l	96	72.0 b	92.0 a	35.2 b	83.2 b	48.4 a	90.5 b
	72	80.0 a	91.6 a	41.2 a	82.0 b	51.1 a	89.5 b
	48	81.2 a	90.8 a	43.6 a	82.8 b	53.0 a	91.2 b
	24	80.8 a	93.2 a	45.2 a	88.4 a	53.9 a	94.8 a
3 μ l	96	70.8 c	92.0 a	32.8 b	84.8 a	45.0 a	92.2 a
	72	73.6bc	90.4 a	37.6ab	84.4 a	50.5 a	93.3 a
	48	76.0ab	92.4 a	36.8ab	85.2 a	48.2 a	92.2 a
	24	78.4 a	91.6 a	39.6 a	84.8 a	50.2 a	92.5 a
4 μ l	96	67.6 c	90.4 a	27.8 c	82.8 a	39.9 b	96.6 b
	72	73.6 b	91.2 a	32.4 b	86.8 a	43.4ab	95.1 a
	48	76.8ab	93.6 a	35.6ab	87.2 a	45.6ab	93.2ab
	24	79.2 a	94.0 a	37.6 a	86.0 a	47.1 a	91.5 b

Means followed by similar letters are not significantly different from each other according to DMR test.

Table-3 Effect of *Acorus calamus* oil vapor on eggs development and molting of nymphs of *D. cingulatus*

Exposure No. of	A G E O F				E G G S			
	24 hrs	48 hrs	72 hrs	96 hrs	moulting during	moulting during	moulting during	moulting during
period eggs	Hatch. Moul.	Hatch. Moul.	Hatch. Moul.	Hatch. Moul.	Died	Hatch. Moul.	Died	Hatch. Moul.
Dose (hours)	Hatch. Moul.	Hatch. Moul.	Hatch. Moul.	Hatch. Moul.	Died	Hatch. Moul.	Died	Hatch. Moul.
Control	200 183 180	5 184 181	3 180 178	2 187 182	5			
1 μ l	24 200 184 178	6 180 180	10 178 163	15 178 153	25			
	48 200 180 160	20 178 150	23 180 130	50 182 120	62			
	72 200 173 140	33 160 120	40 152 82	70 140 60	80			
	96 200 170 125	45 150 93	52 146 51	95 130 30	100			
Control	200 184 181	3 190 186	2 186 180	6 184 183	1			
24	200 180 172	8 180 165	15 176 153	20 170 140	30			
48	200 176 156	20 178 151	27 170 111	59 165 95	70			
72	200 170 130	40 158 110	48 153 73	85 135 40	95			
96	200 160 105	55 140 72	63 142 27	115 115 0	115			
Control	200 190 188	2 180 178	2 183 185	1 182 181	1			
24	200 180 162	18 170 140	30 168 113	55 160 85	75			
48	200 178 150	28 160 123	37 160 85	75 150 60	90			
72	200 162 112	50 128 58	70 120 20	100 105 0	105			
96	200 148 78	70 100 5	95 53 0	58 20 0	20			
Control	200 183 182	6 190 184	6 184 180	4 193 188	2			
24	200 160 117	43 190 78	52 190 2	2 98 0	50			
48	200 188 58	80 80 2	78 50 0	50 30 0	30			
72	200 75 3	72 30 1	29 30 0	30 20 0	20			
96	200 40 0	40 5 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0			

Table 4 Effect of Acorus calamus oil Vapours on percentage of hatching and adult emergence of F1 generation

Dose/Factor	Duration of exposure of eggs in hours							
	24 hours	48 hours	72 hours	96 hours				
Dose 1 µl								
% age of hatching	88.0 a	83.8 b	83.8 a	87.0 c	84.0 a	87.8 b	88.2 a	89.2 a
% age of adult emergence based on eggs	78.2 b	83.8 c	55.2 c	82.0 c	48.2 c	80.9 c	45.8 c	78.2 b
% age of adult emergence based on nymphs	72.4 c	95.5 a	65.8 c	94.3 a	57.3 b	92.0 a	55.0 b	87.8 a
Dose 2 µl								
% age of hatching	79.2 a	85.6 b	76.2 a	88.6 b	73.4 a	89.6 b	69.6 a	87.4 b
% age of adult emergence based on eggs	57.8 c	82.8 b	51.2 c	83.2 c	45.0 c	83.2 c	41.4 c	79.8 c
% age of adult emergence based on nymphs	72.9 b	93.7 a	66.9 b	93.9 a	61.1 b	92.8 a	59.4 b	91.2 a
Dose 3 µl								
% age of hatching	72.6 a	85.6 b	71.6 a	89.2 b	70.6 a	88.6 b	68.8 a	87.0 a
% age of adult emergence based on eggs	47.4 c	82.8 c	45.6 c	81.4 c	44.6 c	83.0 c	38.0 c	78.4 b
% age of adult emergence based on nymphs	65.1 b	96.7 a	63.5 b	91.3 a	62.7 b	93.7 a	54.8 b	90.0 a
Dose 4 µl								
% age of hatching	71.4 a	87.2 a	71.4 a	88.4 b	68.6 a	85.8 b	67.2 a	80.4 a
% age of adult emergence based on eggs	43.4 c	80.2 b	41.0 c	84.0 c	39.0 c	81.2 c	34.2 c	77.8 c
% age of adult emergence based on nymphs	60.1 b	92.1 a	57.3 b	95.0 a	56.2 b	94.2 a	50.4 b	88.1 b

Means followed by similar letter are not significantly different

from each other according to DMR test

Table-5 Comparative effect of dose and exposure period on percentage of hatching and adult emergence

Dose	Exposure	% age of hatching		% age of adult emergent based on			
	period	hatching		EGGS		NYMPHS	
	(hours)	Treated	Cont.	Treated	Cont.	Treated	Cont.
1 μ l	96	83.2 b	89.2	45.8 c	78.2 b	55.0 c	87.6 b
	72	84.0 b	87.8	43.2 c	80.8ab	55.1 c	92.0 a
	48	83.8 b	87.0	55.2 b	82.0ab	65.8 b	94.3 a
	24	88.0 a	86.8N.S	63.8a	83.8 a	72.4 a	96.5 a
2 μ l	96	69.6 d	87.4	41.4 c	79.8 a	59.4 c	91.2 b
	72	73.4 c	89.6	45.0 c	83.2 a	61.1 c	92.8ab
	48	76.2 b	88.6	51.2 b	83.2 a	66.9 b	93.2ab
	24	79.2 a	85.6N.S	57.8 a	82.8 a	72.9 a	96.7 a
3 μ l	96	68.8 b	87.0	38.0 b	78.4 b	54.8 b	90.0 b
	72	70.6 ab	88.6	44.6 a	83.0 a	62.7 a	93.7ab
	48	71.6 a	89.2	45.6 a	81.4ab	63.5 a	91.3 b
	24	72.6 a	87.6N.S	47.4 a	82.8 a	65.1 a	96.7 a
4 μ l	96	67.2 b	90.4	34.2 b	78.8 b	50.4 b	86.1 b
	72	68.6 b	85.8	39.0 a	81.2ab	56.2 a	94.2 a
	48	71.4 a	88.4	41.0 a	84.0 a	57.3 a	95.0 a
	24	71.4 a	87.2N.S	43.4 a	80.2ab	60.6 a	92.1 a

Means followed by similar letters are not significantly different from each other according to DMR test.

REFERENCES

- 1- Koul, O. Tikku, K. and Saxena; B.P. (1977). Abstracted in Saxena; Tikku and Koul; 1977.
- 2- Mathur, A.C. and Saxena, P.B. (1975). Induction of sterility in male house flies by vapours of *Acorus calamus* L. oil. *Naturwissenschaften*; 62 : 576.
- 3- Rohdendorf, E.B. (1956). Abstracted in Saxena and Rohdendorf, 1974.
- 4- Rohdendorf, E.B. Saxena, B.P. (1974.) Changes in ovaries of *Thermobia domestica* (Pack) evoked by JH analogues and oil of *Acorus calamus*. Regulation of insect reproduction (1974) Liblice, Czechoslovakia.
- 5- Saxena, B.P. and Srivastava, J.B. (1971). Effect of *Acorus calamus* L. oil vapours on *Dysdercus Koenigii* F. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology* 10 (5) : 391-393.
- 6- Saxena, B.P. and Srivastava, J.B. (1972). Abstracted in Saxena and Rohendorf 1974.
- 7- Saxena, B.P. and Rohdendorf, E.B. (1974). Morphological changes in *Thermobia domestica* under the influence of *Acorus calamus* oil vapours. *Experimenta*, 30 (11) : 1298-1300.
- 8- Saxna, B.P. and Mathur, A.C. (1976) Loss of fecundity in *Dysdercus koenigii* F. due to vapours of *Acorus calamus* L. o.i. *Experimenta*, 32 (3) : 313-316.
- 9- Saxena, B.P. Koul, O. and Tikku; K. (1977). Abstracted in Saxena; Tikku and Koul 1977.
- 10- Saxena, B.P. Tikku, K. and Koul; K. (1977). Spermatogenesis in *Dysdercus koenigii* and induced sterility by *Acorus calamus* oil vapours. *Acta ent. bohemoslov*, 74 : 381-387.
- 11- Schmidt, G.H. and Borchers, D. (1931). Studies of the sterilizing effect of Indian *Acorus calamus* oil in an.. *Mitt. ditsch. Ges allg. angew. Ent.* 3 : 201-213.